

# $\tau$ -Tilting Finite Algebras With Non Empty Left or Right Parts are Representation-Finite

Stephen M. Zito

Department of Mathematics  
University of Connecticut  
FD Seminar Online

October 1st, 2020

# Introduction: $\tau$ -Tilting Theory

$\tau$ -tilting theory was introduced by Adachi, Iyama and Reiten [1] as a far-reaching generalization of classical tilting theory for finite dimensional associative algebras. One of the main classes of objects in the theory is that of  $\tau$ -rigid modules: a module  $M$  over an algebra  $\Lambda$  is  $\tau$ -rigid if  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \tau M) = 0$ , such a module  $M$  is called  $\tau$ -tilting if the number  $|M|$  of non-isomorphic indecomposable summands of  $M$  equals the number of isomorphism classes of simple  $\Lambda$ -modules.

# Introduction: $\tau$ -Tilting Finite Algebras

Recently, a new class of algebras were introduced by Demonet, Iyama, Jasso [10] called  $\tau$ -tilting finite algebras. They are defined as finite dimensional algebras with only a finite number of isomorphism classes of basic  $\tau$ -tilting modules.

# Introduction: Significance

If  $\Lambda$  is a  $\tau$ -tilting finite algebra:

- There are finitely many support  $\tau$ -tilting modules. [10].
- Every torsion class is functorially finite [10].
- There are finitely many bricks in its module category [10].
- The representation theory is easier to understand.

# Introduction: Goal

An obvious sufficient condition to be  $\tau$ -tilting finite is to be representation-finite. In general, this condition is not necessary. The aim of this talk is to prove for algebras  $\Lambda$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  or  $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ , representation-finiteness and  $\tau$ -tilting finiteness are equivalent conditions.

## Theorem 1.

*Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite dimensional algebra such that  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  or  $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is  $\tau$ -tilting finite if and only if  $\Lambda$  is representation-finite.*

# Introduction: Notation

- $\Lambda$  is f. d. over an algebraically closed field  $k$ .
- $\text{mod } \Lambda$ .
- $\text{ind } \Lambda$ .
- $\text{add } M$ .
- $\text{Gen } M$ .
- $\text{Cogen } M$ .
- $\Gamma(\text{mod } \Lambda)$ .
- $\text{pd}_\Lambda M$  and  $\text{id}_\Lambda M$ .

# Torsion Pairs: Definition

For a subcategory  $C$  of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  we let

$$C^\perp := \{X \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, X) = 0\}.$$

Dually, we define  ${}^\perp C$ . We say a full subcategory  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  is a *torsion class* (respectively *torsionfree class*) if it is closed under factor modules (respectively, submodules) and extensions. A pair  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is called a *torsion pair* if  $\mathcal{T} = {}^\perp \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T}^\perp$ . In this case  $\mathcal{T}$  is a torsion class and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a torsion free class.

# Torsion Pairs: Ext-Projectives and Ext-Injectives

We say  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  is *Ext-projective* (respectively, *Ext-injective*) if  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(X, \mathcal{T}) = 0$  (respectively,  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(\mathcal{T}, X) = 0$ ). Denote by  $P(\mathcal{T})$  the direct sum of one copy of each of the indecomposable Ext-projective objects in  $\mathcal{T}$  up to isomorphism. Similarly, denote by  $I(\mathcal{F})$  the direct sum of one copy of each of the indecomposable Ext-injective objects in  $\mathcal{F}$  up to isomorphism.



# Torsion Pairs: Contravariantly and Covariantly Finite

We recall that a full additive subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  is called *contravariantly finite* if, for any  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$ , there exists a morphism  $f_{\mathcal{C}} : M_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow M$  such that  $M_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$  and, if  $f : N \rightarrow M$  is any morphism with  $N \in \mathcal{C}$ , then there exists  $g : N \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{C}}$  such that  $f = f_{\mathcal{C}}g$ . The dual notion is that of *covariantly finite*. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is both contravariantly and covariantly finite, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is *functorially finite*.

# Torsion Pairs: Functorially Finite

## Proposition 2 ([9], [11], [13]).

Let  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a torsion pair in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mathcal{T}$  is functorially finite.
- (b)  $\mathcal{F}$  is functorially finite.
- (c)  $\mathcal{T} = \text{Gen } P(\mathcal{T})$ .
- (d)  $\mathcal{F} = \text{Cogen } I(\mathcal{F})$ .

# Left Supported Algebras: Left and Right Parts (1)

Given  $X, Y \in \text{ind } \Lambda$ , we denote  $X \rightsquigarrow Y$  in case there exists a chain of nonzero nonisomorphisms

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots X_{t-1} \xrightarrow{f_t} X_t = Y$$

with  $t \geq 0$ , between indecomposable modules. In this case we say  $X$  is a predecessor of  $Y$  and  $Y$  is a successor of  $X$ . If  $Y = X$ , we say  $X$  lies on a cycle. We now recall the definition of the left and right part of a module category.

# Left Supported Algebras: Left and Right Parts (2)

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$  the following subcategories of  $\text{ind } \Lambda$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_\Lambda = \{Y \in \text{ind } \Lambda : \text{pd}_\Lambda X \leq 1 \text{ for each } X \rightsquigarrow Y\}.$$

$$\mathcal{R}_\Lambda = \{Y \in \text{ind } \Lambda : \text{id}_\Lambda X \leq 1 \text{ for each } Y \rightsquigarrow X\}$$

We call  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  the *left part* of the module category  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$  the *right part*. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  is closed under predecessors while  $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$  is closed under successors.

# Left Supported Algebras: Definition

An algebra  $\Lambda$  is called *left supported* provided the class  $\text{add } \mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  is contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ . We define dually *right supported algebras*.

# Left Supported Algebras: Characterizations

Let  $E$  be the direct sum of a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable Ext-injectives in  $\text{add } \mathcal{L}_\Lambda$ . Let  $F$  be the direct sum of a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable projectives not lying in  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$ .

## Theorem 3.

[5, Theorem A] *Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra. The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\Lambda$  is left supported.
- (b)  $\text{add } \mathcal{L}_\Lambda = \text{Cogen} E$ .
- (c)  $T = E \oplus F$  is a tilting module.

# Left Supported Algebras: Components (1)

We need a result on the structure of the Auslander-Reiten components of a left supported algebra  $\Lambda$ . We recall a connected component  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma(\text{mod } \Lambda)$  is called a *postprojective component* if  $\Gamma$  does not contain an oriented cycle and each indecomposable module  $X \in \Gamma$  is of the form  $\tau^{-r}P$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and an indecomposable projective  $\Lambda$ -module  $P$ .

# Left Supported Algebras: Components (2)

## Proposition 4.

[5, Corollary 5.4.] *Let  $\Lambda$  be a representation-infinite left supported algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  is infinite.
- (b) There exists a component  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma(\text{mod } \Lambda)$  lying entirely in  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$ .
- (c)  $\Gamma(\text{mod } \Lambda)$  has a postprojective component without injectives.



# Main Result

We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

## Theorem 5.

*Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra such that  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  or  $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is  $\tau$ -tilting finite if and only if  $\Lambda$  is representation-finite.*

# Proof Outline I

- The sufficiency is obvious so we prove the necessity.
- Assume  $\Lambda$  is  $\tau$ -tilting finite but representation-infinite.
- Without loss of generality, assume  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ .
- Every torsion-free class is functorially finite.
- $(\text{ind } \Lambda \setminus \text{add } \mathcal{L}_\Lambda, \text{add } \mathcal{L}_\Lambda)$  is a torsion pair with  $\text{add } \mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  a torsion-free class.
- By Proposition 2 (d),  $\text{add } \mathcal{L}_\Lambda = \text{Cogen } I(\text{add } \mathcal{L}_\Lambda)$
- Theorem 3 (b) guarantees  $\Lambda$  is left supported.
- The equivalency of Proposition 4 (a) and (c) guarantees the existence of a postprojective component  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma(\text{mod } \Lambda)$  with or without injectives.

# Proof Outline II

- Since a postprojective component is acyclic,  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \tau M) = 0$  for every indecomposable module  $M \in \Gamma$ .
- Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite, we have an infinite number of  $\tau$ -rigid modules which further implies an infinite number of basic  $\tau$ -tilting modules.
- This is a contradiction to  $\Lambda$  being  $\tau$ -tilting finite!

# Applications I

We recall an algebra  $\Lambda$  is *laura* if the set  $\text{ind } \Lambda \setminus (\mathcal{L}_\Lambda \cup \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$  is finite (see [4] and [12]).

## Corollary 6.

*Let  $\Lambda$  be a laura algebra. Then  $\Lambda$  is  $\tau$ -tilting finite if and only if  $\Lambda$  is representation-finite.*

Following [3], an algebra  $\Lambda$  is an *ada algebra* if  $\Lambda \oplus D\Lambda \in \text{add}(\mathcal{L}_\Lambda \cup \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ . In [2], an algebra  $\Lambda$  is *right ada* if  $\Lambda \in \text{add}(\mathcal{L}_\Lambda \cup \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ . Dually,  $\Lambda$  is *left ada* if  $D\Lambda \in \text{add}(\mathcal{L}_\Lambda \cup \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ .

## Corollary 7.

*If  $\Lambda$  is an ada, right ada, or left ada algebra, then  $\Lambda$  is  $\tau$ -tilting finite if and only if  $\Lambda$  is representation-finite.*

# Applications II

The next result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for an indecomposable module  $Y$  to be in  $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$ .

## Theorem 8.

[6, Theorem 1.1] *Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra with  $Y \in \text{ind } \Lambda$ . Then  $Y \in \mathcal{L}_\Lambda$  if and only if, for every  $X \in \text{ind } \Lambda$  with projective dimension at least two, we have  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, Y) = 0$ .*

The following corollary of Theorem 5 is immediate.

## Corollary 9.

*Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra and suppose there exists a simple projective (injective)  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is  $\tau$ -tilting finite if and only if  $\Lambda$  is representation-finite.*

# Applications III

Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra. We say a  $\Lambda$ -module  $T$  is a *tilting module* if  $\text{pd}_\Lambda T \leq 1$ ,  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(T, T) = 0$ , and the number of non-isomorphic indecomposable summands of  $T$  equals the number of non-isomorphic simple  $\Lambda$ -modules. Recall that a tilting module  $T$  determines a torsion pair,  $(\mathcal{T}(T), \mathcal{F}(T))$ , in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  where  $\mathcal{T}(T)$  (or  $\mathcal{F}(T)$ ) is the full subcategory of those modules  $M$  such that  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(T, M) = 0$  (or such that  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(T, M) = 0$ ), (see [7] for details). We say  $T$  is *separating* if the torsion pair  $(\mathcal{T}(T), \mathcal{F}(T))$  is splitting.

## Corollary 10.

*Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra and suppose there exists a non-projective tilting  $\Lambda$ -module  $T$  which is separating. Then  $\Lambda$  is  $\tau$ -tilting finite if and only if  $\Lambda$  is representation-finite.*

# Applications III

The proof outline is as follows:

- Since  $T$  is non-projective,  $\mathcal{F}(T)$  is non-empty.
- Let  $X \in \mathcal{F}(T)$  be indecomposable.
- Since  $(\mathcal{T}(T), \mathcal{F}(T))$  is splitting, we know  $\tau X \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ .
- By the definition of  $\mathcal{T}(T)$ , each indecomposable injective  $\Lambda$ -module must belong to  $\mathcal{T}(T)$ .
- $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(I, \tau X) = 0$  for every indecomposable injective  $I$ .
- $\text{pd}_\Lambda X \leq 1$ .
- Any module  $Y$  with  $\text{pd}_\Lambda Y \geq 2$  must belong to  $\mathcal{T}(T)$ .
- $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(Y, X) = 0$  for  $X \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ .
- Theorem 8 implies  $X \in \mathcal{L}_\Lambda$ .

# Applications IV

We recall that the so-called APR-tilting modules [8] provide a classic sample of separating tilting modules. Let  $S$  be a simple projective that is not injective, if it exists, and set  $T = \tau^{-1}S \oplus P$  where  $P$  is the direct sum of all non-isomorphic indecomposable projective  $\Lambda$ -modules different from  $S$ .



# References I

- [1] T. Adachi, O. Iyama and I. Reiten,  $\tau$ -tilting theory, *Compos.Math.* **150** (2014), No. 3, 415–452.
- [2] E. Alvares, I. Assem, D. Castonguay and R. Vargas, Right admissible algebras, *J. Algebra and its Applications* **16** (2017), No. 11.
- [3] I. Assem, D. Castonguay, M. Lanzilotta and R. Vargas, Algebras determined by their supports, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* **216** (2012), No. 5, 1134–1145.
- [4] I. Assem and F. U. Coelho, Two-sided gluing of tilted algebras, *J. Algebra* **269** (2003), No. 2, 456–479.
- [5] I. Assem, F. U. Coelho and S. Trepode, The left and right parts of a module category, *J. Algebra* **281** (2004), No. 2, 518–534.

# References II

- [6] I. Assem, M. Lanzilotta, and M. Redondo, Laura skew group algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (2007), 2241–2257.
- [7] I. Assem, D. Simson and A. Skowronski, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras, 1: Techniques of Representation Theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 65, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [8] M. Auslander, M.I. Platzeck, and I. Reiten, Coxeter functors without diagrams, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **250** (1979), 1–46
- [9] M. Auslander and S. O. Smalø, Almost split sequences in subcategories, *J. Algebra* **69** (1981), No. 2, 426–454.

# References III

- [10] L. Demonet, O. Iyama, and G. Jasso,  $\tau$ -tilting finite algebras, bricks, and  $g$ -vectors.  
*International Mathematics Research Notices* **2019** (2019), No. 3, 852–892.
- [11] M. Hoshino, Tilting modules and torsion theories,  
*Bull. London Math. Soc.* **14** (1982), No. 4, 334–336.
- [12] I. Reiten and A. Skowronski, Generalized double tilted algebras,  
*J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56** (2004), No. 1, 269–288
- [13] S. O. Smalø, Torsion theory and tilting modules,  
*Bull. London Math. Soc.* **16** (1984), 518–522.